

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/016,246	10/30/2001	Robert L. Kleinberg	60.1323/1324 CIP 7874		
7	7590 11/12/2003	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Law Department Schlumberger-Doll Research Old Quarry Road Ridgefield, CT 06877-4108			VARGAS, DIXOMARA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2859		
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					har			
,		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/016,24	16	KLEINBERG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	•	Art Unit				
		Dixomara	Vargas	2859				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	'SS			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no evolution the state riod will apply and watute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day: ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	2 September 2	<u>2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	<del>'</del>							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8,21 and 25-29 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 9-20 and 22-24 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	ion Papers	d/or election n	equirement.					
_	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore AII b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burdsee the attached detailed Office action for a lacknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78.  ) The translation of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome afterence was included in the first sentence of	ents have bee ents have bee oriority docume eau (PCT Rule list of the certicestic priority urfirst sentence provisional appestic priority ur	n received. n received in Application received in Application to the the three in three in the three in thr	on No  d in this National Sta  d. e) (to a provisional ap in an Application Da  eived. and/or 121 since a s	pplication) ta Sheet. pecific			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Distantian Order	(DTO 442) Dans No(-)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/016,246 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

# **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps.

  See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: applying a static magnetic field to the crude oil sample; applying a sequence of oscillating magnetic field pulses to the crude detecting magnetic resonance signals from the sample in the fluid channel.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

Application/Control Number: 10/016,246 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

the invention. The term contaminant is an indefinite relative term since the intent, meaning, and equivalents, of "contaminants" and "contaminant samples", while it may be understood to be of pollutant or impurity nature, is not clear as to the defined basis and functional equivalent alternatives in the written specification so as to enable one to know intent and breadth of the claims and how they relate to the prior art. Also note "sample" may be broadly read as not of physical nature but as an electrical sensor reading at a sample or sampling time of in situ nature not requiring a physical material to be collected. Applicant should also note that "contaminant" is not explicitly defined in the claims, nor clear limited definition given in the written specification, and therefore such terms and phrases become a purely subjective interpretation to one skilled in various geologic arts as to what applicant intends and what material/chemical/composition possibly meets such termed concept. What is a "contaminant" and undesirable to one person may be desirable to another; for example water, or oil. To someone desiring clean water, oil and hydrocarbons are contaminants; to another desiring prospecting and removal of oil or other hydrocarbons water would be a contaminant.

5. Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: means for extracting fluid from the earth formation into a flow channel within the tool.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 9-20 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Application/Control Number: 10/016,246 Page 4

Art Unit: 2859

a. With respect to claims 9 and 17, the claims have been found allowable over the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a method of analyzing hydrocarbon in a fluid in a downhole environment comprising the steps of extracting fluid from the earth formation into a flow channel within the tool and detecting MR signals from the fluid in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.

- b. With respect to claims 10-16 and 18-20, the claims have been found allowable due to its dependency on claim 9 above.
- 8. Claims 22-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 1-8, 21 and 25-29 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (703) 305-5705. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm..

Art Unit: 2859

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.

Dixomara Vargas

Art Unit 2859 November 8, 2003 Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

CHRISTOPHER W. FULTON PRIMARY EXAMINER